Results Agreement with UNICEF on School Related Gender Based Violence under the Global and Regional Activities (GRA) program

Date: 30.03.2014

1. Thematic Area ¹	Learning Outcomes and Systems Improvement
2. Subtheme	Related to SO2: All girls in GPE-endorsed countries successfully complete primary school and go to secondary school in a safe, supportive learning environment
3.Managing Entity Contact Information (Program manager)	UNICEF 3 UN Plaza, New York, N.Y. 10017 Tel: +1 212 824 6012 Changu Mannathoko, Senior Education Adviser (cmannathoko@unicef.org) & Aarti Saihjee, Education Specialist (asaihjee@unicef.org)
4. Amount out of GPE Fund	\$US 800,000 (out of which agency fee US\$ 64,000)
5. Proposed Start Date/End Dates	2014 –2017 (to be initiated when funds received)
6. Reporting on Implementation	Monitoring reports due June 30 and December 31; completion report due within 3 months after end date of implementation
7. Regional Focus/Beneficiary Countries	 a) Regional Focus: Western and Central Africa (Togo & Cote d'Ivoire) Eastern and Southern Africa (Ethiopia & Zambia) b) Global Relevance: School related gender-based violence (SRGBV) is a global phenomenon, albeit its nature and incidence may vary between regions/countries and within countries. Despite the regional focus, the process and outcome of the initiative is expected to have global relevance.
8. Knowledge/Capacity Gap to be Addressed (in very brief form)	Knowledge Gap: a) Lack of a consolidated evidence base on effective strategies to address SRGBV; b) Low awareness, understanding, and recognition among educators and managers of the nature and scope of SRGBV and how it affects boys and girls differently; Capacity Gap: Limited capacity of education sector to design, implement and monitor SRGBV strategies – which are holistic and context-specific; Implementation Gap: a) Lack of monitoring systems that can track incidence of SRGBVs regularly; b) Limited spaces and platforms for civil society participation and systematic inputs;

 $^{^{1}}$ The information under 1 – 9 should be taken from Form A of the final GRA program proposal and be updated where necessary

9. Results Expected (in very brief form; See table below see also detailed Table below

10. Readjustment and Mid-term Review
If the Managing Entity sees a need for a change amounting to more than 20 % of the grant allocation, a request can be sent to the Secretariat for consideration. Based on the monitoring results of the implementation the Secretariat can propose changes too.
The Secretariat will conduct a mid-term review in February 2015 which aims at ensuring that the activity stays on track and relevant to GPE

strategic objectives. This may lead to adjustments in the activity.

Detailed Expected Results, Outcomes and Outputs (Please see the Instructions in the Annex):

Overall Goal to which program will contribute: Girls complete primary school and transition to secondary education within a safe and supportive learning environment

Indicator 1: % increase in incidence of reporting and action against school related GBV in target country program areas **Indicator 2:** Gender parity in transition to secondary education

Expected Outcomes (What	Indicators, timescales,	Program Outputs	Activities
will be delivered?)	milestones and targets for	(Program Deliverables) with	(planned to obtain or to contribute to achieving stated
	expected Outcomes	indicators and targets	outcomes)
Outcome 1: Project countries	Indicator 1: Number of	Output 1: Country-baselines on	1.1. Global and regional mechanism established to lead
are knowledgeable about the	countries with an available	nature and incidence of SRGBV	cross-country analysis, M&E and program coordination
nature and incidence of	global and national evidence	completed;	and consolidation (including recruitment of
SRGBV in schools; and use	base on effective strategies to	Indicator: Number of country-	institution(s) and individuals at HQ and ROs)
evidence based policies and	address SRGBV	specific baselines completed	1.2. Systematic review of literature on effective
strategies to address SRGBV	Timescale/Milestone: Country	Target: 5 (4 countries + 1 global	interventions and good practices in addressing SRGBV
	Reports at end of project/2	baseline)	globally
	countries by mid-point		1.3. Conduct/update country-baselines, including
	Target: 5 (4 project countries	Output 2: Robust M&E	situational analysis, stakeholder analysis and sector
	+ 1 global)	framework developed and	problem analysis to identify incidence of SRGBV,
		operationalized for cross-	existing policies and mechanisms, monitoring systems
	Indicator 2: Number of	country analysis of SRGBV and	and programs designed to prevent and/or redress
	countries, where the LEG	"safe" schools;	SRGBV.
	systematically address SRGBV	Indicator 1: # of countries	1.4. Regional workshops to plan and roll out program
	and safe schools as part of	monitoring identified indicators	conducted bi-annually; and followed through national
	monitoring & development of	at program level;	workshops;
	education sector plans ²	Target: 4	1.5. Develop country-specific work plans including
	Timescale/Milestone(s): End		participatory M&E frameworks involving community
	of project evaluation/4 groups		level monitoring of gender based violence in schools
	by midpoint		1.6. Develop and pilot a small survey on assessing the
	Target: 4 (project countries) +		incidence of SRGBV in each country with a view to have

² Inclusion of SRGBV/safe schools in education sector plans is only relevant if the country in question is in the process of developing the plans during the course of the project;

	4 (non-project GPE countries in the region) Indicator 3: Number of countries with a functioning network of CSOs working on SRGBV; Timescale/Milestone(s): End of project evaluation/2 countries by midpoint Target: 4		 a standardized module that could be relevant across countries 1.7. Develop and agree upon criteria for a school to be classified as a "safe" school; 1.8. Conduct stakeholder analysis and orientation within project countries and establish collaborative partnerships with relevant actors, CSOs, NGOs, Universities involved with gender and SRGBV work.
Outcome 2: Partners in project countries have increased institutional and technical capacity to design, implement and monitor SRGBV interventions, based on global best practice and country level learning.	Indicator 1: Number of key stakeholders ³ using gender responsive program design and delivery processes within education plans, Timescale/Milestone: End of project evaluation/16 groups at midpoint Target: 8 across each of the 4 project countries; Indicator 2: Number of local interventions identified, supported/implemented and monitored systematically to establish good practice; Timescale/Milestone: End of project evaluation/2 at	Output 1: Gender responsive program planning tools developed to enable context specific response to SRGBV Indicator 1: # of gender sensitive program planning tools used Target: 4 Indicator 2: # of metrics and indicators developed to measure GBV within schools Target: 4 Output 2: Innovative interventions addressing SRGBV at the school level identified and included within country level program plans;	 1 Workshop to promote common understanding of SRGBV and establish parameters for responses and interventions that address GBV in schools 2 Conduct country level review workshops to support planning and implementation of SRGBV activities 3 Develop training guides and manuals field tested for Francophone and Anglophone users 4 Conduct staff training and/or technical assistance to enhance understanding of gender dimensions of education 5 Develop community level M&E framework to track program progress and draw timely lessons to enable course correction 6 Maintain data base of good programming practices, data and tools within countries 7 Social Mobilization of national teams, local education groups and local communities (teachers, parents, children and adolescents, local authorities) against GBV
	midpoint Target: 4	Indicator 1: # of interventions being implemented in	in schools 2. 8 Establish dialogue fora between adolescents, school

³ Teachers and education authorities, community members, CSOs and CBOs, , LEGs and Ministries of Education, development partners, children and adolescents, technical institutions, non-education government authorities

participating schools in the project countries;personnel, community members and relevant stakeholders to address issues of gender and violenceIndicator 2: # of schools, teachers and children being reached by the interventions;personnel, community members and relevant stakeholders to address issues of gender and violenceTarget: 10 interventions (minimum of 2-3 interventions across the 4 participating countries);personnel, community members and relevant stakeholders to address issues of gender and violence2. 9 Build on CFS as a model for participation to strengthen adolescent girls' voices within and outside schools
Output 3: Capacity building strategy rolled out at different levels Indicator 1: # of capacity building activities conducted with staff, partners and school personnel, children and adolescents Indicator 2: # of teachers, children and community members (50% girls and women) participating in activities Target: 20 activities; (minimum of 5 across each country;
Output 4: "Communities of Learning" established in target intervention areas to facilitate peer to peer learning on gender dynamics, violence and education Indicator 1: # of groups actively

		participating in CoL Target: 8	
Outcome 3: Global and regional actors actively engage with the issue of SRGBV to improve multi-level and multi- sectoral action against SRGBV	Indicator 1: Number of global fora and mechanisms facilitated to address SRGBV; Timescale/Milestone: End of project evaluation/3 at midpoint Target: 6 Indicator 2: Number of non- project GPE countries aware and using the tools and guidance developed Timescale/Milestone: End of project & beyond Target: 10	Output 1: Global Community of Practice on SRGBV developed and facilitated for the period of the project; Indicator 1: # of participants active in the Community of Practice Target: 75-100 Indicator 2: # of groups facilitated and interactive discussions organized Target: 6 Output 2: Global learning workshop/s organized and conducted for GPE countries (in partnership with GPE Secretariat); Indicator 1: No. of GPE countries participating in the workshop; Target: 30 Output 3: Practical and accessible guidance and tools for assessing, designing and implementing SRGBV programming developed and shared	 3. 1 Global management and coordination mechanisms as outlined in the proposal set-up; 3. 2 Establish online portal, technical working groups, common metrics and tools of data collection, and peer review processes where relevant; 3. 3 Consolidate and document the knowledge generated by participating countries along with a repository of tools and country specific reports 3. 4 Publication of reports, guidance documents and policy briefs highlighting key findings and best practices 3. 5 Develop and implement communication and advocacy strategy, including organization of regional and global workshops/conference for dissemination;

	Indicator 1: # of reports, tools	
	and guidance shared in	
	academic fora and among	
	partner and GPE countries	
	Target: 5	
	-	

Annex: Information and Instructions for the detailed table on outputs, outcomes/results:

1. Definitions:

• **Overall program goal** (mauve shaded row): Higher level goal (impact) to which the program will contribute.

• Outcomes: Expected demand-side behavioral responses by the direct beneficiaries outside the control of the managing/implementing partner that demonstrate uptake, adoption and use of the project outputs, Example: New practices adopted, children in school, reduction in absenteeism, students show improved reading performance, Governments use new databases. The focus should be on outcome(s) that the program is reasonably expected to lead to but are not directly within its control.

• Indicators: Indicators are statements of measure: E.g. Number or Percentage of something which improve / increase / decrease. They are meant to verify an expected result is achieved, but they do not themselves express the result. E.g. "Annual training takes place on how to run parenting groups" is the result and not an indicator, while number or percentage of parenting groups trained per year could be the indicator. The indicators should be SMART: Specific, Measurable, Attributable, Realistic and relevant, Time-bound, meaning they can be measured, and have baselines, milestones and targets. Example: in follow up survey, X% staff use concepts/skills from learning activity, stakeholder consultations held, feedback reflected in revision of education sector policy, network of official formed after participation in program/workshop, meet regularly etc.

The indicators for the **overall goal** (in the mauve shaded row) should be publicly measurable and tracked. When formulating the **indicators for the outcomes** please ensure they can be measured by someone involved in the project including how the information will be gathered, processed and reported. Preferably the outcome indicators should not be all end of project indicators, sequencing is recommended.

• **Outputs:** Program deliverables (on the supply side) within the control of the managing/ implanting partner; tangible products of services that can be counted. Examples: Training plan completed, local staff trained, report completed, new curriculum materials developed, school built, etc. For the outputs indicators and targets should be provided as well including planned dates for completion (e.g. indicate how many staff will be trained and by when). • Activities: Action taken or work performed by which inputs are converted into specific outputs to achieve or to contribute to the achievement of the expected outcomes listed under the first column Training, study, workshop etc.).

2. Guidance:

• Start with providing a clear statement what is **the program's overall goal** (changes in **institutional** performance or behavior / practices of target groups) along with **1** - **3 key indicators** to measure this goal. These indicators should be publicly measurable and tracked. They should be at a higher level and relate to the MDGs and/or the GPE strategic goals and objectives the program will contribute to.

• Then list the **major expected program outcomes** in the rose colored column 1. Please make sure to clearly define what is intended to be achieved as a result of the interventions (activities) and avoid stating deliverables (which are outputs) under outcomes. Example: "Teachers trained" is an output. The respective outcome could be "students show improved reading performance". The higher level goal (overall program goal) in this example would be "literacy rates increase". There should be **not more than 3 major outcomes** for the whole program. **Please make sure that you keep this limit** unless the complexity of the program absolutely requires an exception.

• The number of key indicators per outcome should also be limited to a **maximum of 3** per outcome so that the monitoring and reporting efforts don't become too complicated. The same applies for the indicators per output. In many cases just one indicator might be sufficient. **Please also inform on the data resources** which will be used to help insure that the indicators are measurable.